### **Document Log Item**

Addressing			
From		То	
Carl Goldstein/R9/USEPA/US		jcox@cosintl.com	
сс		ВСС	
gdc@tidepool.com			
Description			Form Used: Memo
Subject		Date/Time	
Letter to Canneries		10/28/1999 01:08 PM	
# of Attachments	<b>Total Bytes</b>	NPM	Contributor
0	6,882		Marcela VonVacano
Processing			
Comments			

### Body

### **Document Body**

The letter to each permittee follows. I have faxed the letter to the canneries.

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX

Pacific Insular Areas Program 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

October 28, 1999

Herman Gebauer
General Manager
COS Samoa Packing Company

P.O. 957 Box Pago Pago, AS 96799 RE: **NPDES** Permit Discharge Monitoring Requirements AS0000027 Permit No. Mr. Dear Gebauer:

It has been brought to my attention that COS Samoa Packing Company has failed to comply with certain discharge monitoring and reporting requirements stipulated in your NPDES permit.

Specifically, COS Samoa Packing Company has not submitted the monitoring results for the following effluent characteristics listed in Section A of the permit. These monitoring results are to be reported on a semi-annual basis and were due in March 1999:

-	Acute	Toxicity
-	Total	Cadmium
-	Total	Chromium
-	Total	Lead
-	Total	Mercury
-	Total	Zinc

Additionally, COS Samoa Packing Company has not submitted the Priority Pollutant Scan, as required in Section D.2., also due on a semi-annual basis and due in March 1999.

Furthermore, the above cited monitoring requirements and results are next due in November 1999. permittee, **COS** Samoa **Packing** Company must comply with all of permit. violation conditions their permit noncompliance constitutes Any of the Clean Water and grounds for enforcement Act is an action.

Please provide us with the following information within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter.

COS 1. Why Samoa **Packing** Company has failed to permit. meet the cited monitoring requirements of their

- 2. Whether COS Samoa Packing Company intends to conduct the required monitoring to meet the reporting requirement of November 1999. If not, please explain why.
- 3. In the future, what does COS Samoa Packing Company intend avoid discharge monitoring do to noncompliance concerning their and to requirements similar reporting in their permit, prevent occurrences. to

If you have any questions please contact me at (415)744-2170; e-mail:goldstein.carl@epa.gov.

Sincerely,

	American	Carl Samoa	L. Program	Goldstein Manager
cc: Jim				ASEPA Cox

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX

#### Pacific Insular Areas Program 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

October			28,		1999
Phil StarKist		Samoa		Plant	Thirkel Manager
StarKist			Samoa,		Inc.
PO			Box		368
Pago		Pago,		AS	96799
RE: Permit	NPDES	Permit	Discharge No.	Monitoring	Requirements AS0000019

It has been brought to my attention that StarKist Samoa Inc. has failed to comply with certain discharge monitoring and reporting requirements stipulated in your NPDES permit.

Specifically, StarKist Samoa Inc. has not submitted the monitoring results for the following effluent characteristics listed in Section A of the permit. These monitoring results are to be reported on a semi-annual basis and were due in March 1999:

-	Acute	Toxicity
-	Total	Cadmium
-	Total	Chromium
-	Total	Lead
-	Total	Mercury
-	Total	Zinc

Additionally, StarKist Samoa Inc. has not submitted the Priority Pollutant Scan, as required in Section D.2., also due on a semi-annual basis and due in March 1999.

Furthermore, the above cited monitoring requirements and results are next due in November 1999. As permittee, StarKist Samoa Inc. must comply with all conditions of their permit. noncompliance constitutes violation Any permit a of the Clean Water enforcement Act and is grounds for an

Please provide us with the following information within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter.

- 1. Why StarKist Samoa Inc. has failed to meet the monitoring requirements of their permit.
- 2. Whether StarKist Samoa Inc. intends to conduct the required monitoring to meet the reporting requirement of November 1999. If not, please explain why.
- 3. In the future. what does StarKist Samoa Inc. intend to do avoid noncompliance concerning their discharge monitoring to and requirements similar reporting in their permit, to prevent occurrences.

If you have any questions please contact me at (415)744-2170; e-mail:goldstein.carl@epa.gov.

Sincerely,

Carl L. Goldstein
American Samoa Program Manager

cc: ASEPA
Jim Cox